

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

**for the
HUGHSON WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT
SCH # 2006122032**



City of Hughson
7018 Pine Street
Hughson, CA 95326

JUNE 2007



Quad Knopf

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

HUGHSON WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

SCH # 2006122032

June 2007

Prepared for:

City of Hughson
David M. Chase, P.E.
Director of Public Works and City Engineer
7018 Pine Street
Hughson, CA 95326

Prepared by:


Quad Knopf
5110 West Cypress
Visalia, CA 93277
559-733-0440

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

Executive Summary

Project Description.....	ES-1
Project Objective.....	ES-3
Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-3
Potential Areas of Controversy and Issues to be Resolved.....	ES-3
Alternatives to the Proposed Project.....	ES-3
Project Alternatives.....	ES-7
No Project	ES-7
Alternative Secondary Process Components	ES-7
Construct New Hatch Road Pump Station.....	ES-7

Chapter One – Introduction

1.1 Project Summary.....	1-1
1.2 Project Objective.....	1-1
1.3 Procedures.....	1-1
1.4 Methodology/Scope of EIR	1-3
1.5 Organization of the EIR.....	1-4
1.6 Relationship to Other Environmental Documents	1-6

Chapter Two - Project Description and Environmental Setting

2.1 Project Location	2-1
2.2 Project Description.....	2-1
2.3 Regulatory Context.....	2-5
2.4 Relationship to Plans, Ordinances and Approvals.....	2-8
2.5 Uses of the EIR / Required Agency Actions and Permits	2-9

Chapter Three – Setting, Impacts and Mitigation Measures

3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Aesthetics.....	3-2
Impact #3.2.1: Scenic Vista, Scenic Resources, Visual Character, And Light and Glare	3-3
3.3 Agricultural Resources.....	3-3
Impact #3.3.1: Prime Farmland	3-7
Impact #3.3.2: Williamson Act Contract	3-7
3.4 Air Quality and Odors.....	3-9
Impact #3.4.1: Exceed SJVAPCD Criteria for Operational CO Concentrations.....	3-22
Impact #3.4.2: Exceed SJVAPCD Criteria for Operational ROG, NO _x & PM ₁₀) .. Emissions Threshold #2 and #5	3-22
Impact #3.4.3: Adverse Odor Generation Threshold #3.....	3-23
Impact #3.4.4: Hazardous Air Pollutant Exposure – Threshold #4	3-26

	Impact #3.4.5: Exceed SJVAPCD Criteria for Construction Emissions NOx Threshold #6	3-27
3.5	Biological Resources	3-28
	Impact #3.5.1: Substantial Adverse Effect on Candidate, Special-Status or Sensitive Species	3-37
	Impact #3.5.2: Adverse Affect on any Riparian Habitat or Other Sensitive Natural Community.....	3-40
	Impact #3.5.3: Disturbance to Wetlands and Jurisdiction Waters	3-40
	Impact #3.5.4: Interfere with the Movement of Fish or Wildlife or Impede Wildlife Movement Corridors.....	3-41
	Impact #3.5.5: Conflict with any Local Policies or Ordinances Protecting Biological Resources	3-41
	Impact #3.5.6: Habitat Conservation Plan or Other Plan Conflict	3-41
3.6	Cultural Resources	3-41
	Impact #3.6.1: Disturbance of Cultural or Historic Resources, Skeletal Remains.....	3-43
3.7	Geology and Soils.....	3-44
	Impact #3.7.1: Seismic Hazards.....	3-46
	Impact #3.7.2: Landslide Hazards	3-46
	Impact #3.7.3: Soil Erosion, Topsoil Loss.....	3-47
	Impact #3.7.4: Soil Instability.....	3-47
	Impact #3.7.5: Expansive Soils Hazards.....	3-47
	Impact #3.7.6: Soils Unsuitable for Domestic Waste Disposal	3-47
3.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3-48
	Impact #3.8.1: Create Hazard to the Public or Environment.....	3-53
	Impact #3.8.2: Result in Hazardous Emissions, Hazardous Materials within One-quarter Mile of an Existing or Proposed School Site.....	3-54
	Impact #3.8.3: Hazardous Materials Site, Safety Hazard for People in a Public Airport, Public Use Airport, or Private Airstrip.....	3-54
	Impact #3.8.4: Impair or Interfere with Emergency Response/ Evacuation Plans.....	3-54
	Impact #3.8.5: Wildland Fires	3-54
3.9	Hydrology and Water Quality.....	3-55
	Impact #3.9.1: Violation of Water Quality Standards or Waste Discharge Requirements	3-68
	Impact #3.9.2: Substantial Effect on Groundwater Supply	3-69
	Impact #3.9.3: Substantially Alter Existing Drainage Pattern.....	3-69
	Impact #3.9.4: Substantial Degradation of Water Quality.....	3-69
	Impact #3.9.5: Exposure of People or Structures to Significant Risk of Loss Involving Flooding.....	3-69
3.10	Land Use	3-71
	Impact #3.10.1: Physically Divide an Established Community	3-74
	Impact #3.10.2: Conflict with Existing Policies and Regulations	3-74
	Impact #3.10.3: Habitat Conservation Plans.....	3-74

3.11	Mineral Resources	3-75
	Impact #3.11.1: Loss in Availability of Minerals	3-75
3.12	Noise	3-75
	Impact #3.12.1: Exceed City Thresholds for Construction Noise	3-77
	Impact #3.12.2: Operational Noise	3-78
	Impact #3.12.3: Noise Exposure for People Working in Vicinity of a Public Airport, Public Use Airport, or Private Airstrip	3-79
3.13	Population and Housing	3-79
	Impact #3.13.1: Induce Population Growth	3-80
	Impact #3.13.2: Displacement of Housing or Residents	3-80
3.14	Public Services	3-80
	Impact #3.14.1: The Need for Additional Impacts to Fire Protection, Police Protection, Schools, Parks and Other Public Facilities	3-81
3.15	Recreation	3-82
	Impact #3.15.1: Impact to Recreational Facilities	3-82
3.16	Traffic and Circulation	3-83
	Impact #3.16.1: Increase in Traffic, Exceedance of LOS Standards, Increased Traffic Hazards, Emergency Access, And Parking	3-83
	Impact #3.16.2: Change in Air Traffic Patterns	3-84
	Impact #3.16.3: Alternative Transportation	3-84
3.17	Utilities and Service Systems	84
	Impact #3.17.1: Wastewater Treatment Requirements of the RWQCB	3-85
	Impact #3.17.2: Water and Wastewater Treatment Facilities and Wastewater Capacity	3-85
	Impact #3.17.3: Storm Drainage Systems Capacity	3-86
	Impact #3.17.4: Exceed Water Demand	3-86
	Impact #3.17.5: Solid Waste Disposal Capacity	3-86

Chapter Four – Evaluation of Alternatives

4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Alternative No. 1 - No Project Alternative	4-2
4.3	Alternative No. 2 – Alternative Secondary Process Components	4-2
4.4	Alternative No. 3 – Construct New Hatch Road Pump Station	4-4
4.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative	4-6

Chapter Five – Cumulative Impacts

5.1	Summary	5-1
5.2	Aesthetics	5-2
5.3	Agricultural Resources	5-2
5.4	Air Quality	5-2
5.5	Biological Resources	5-4

5.6	Cultural Resources	5-4
5.7	Geology and Soils	5-4
5.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	5-4
5.9	Hydrology; Groundwater Impacts	5-5
5.10	Land Use and Planning	5-5
5.11	Mineral Resources	5-5
5.12	Noise	5-6
5.13	Population and Housing.....	5-6
5.14	Public Services.....	5-6
5.15	Recreation	5-6
5.16	Transportation/Traffic.....	5-7
5.17	Utilities.....	5-7

Chapter Six – Other Mandatory CEQA Sections

6.1	Growth Inducement	6-1
6.2	Effects Found Not to be Significant.....	6-2
6.3	Significant Environmental Effects That Cannot Be Avoided.....	6-3

Chapter Seven – Mitigation Reporting/Monitoring Program

7.1	Introduction.....	7-1
7.2	The Program.....	7-1

Chapter Eight - References and List of Preparers

References.....	8-1
List of Preparers.....	8-1

Appendices

- A Notice of Preparation
- B Commenting Agencies
- C Financing Plan
- D URBEMIS
- E Reconnaissance Level Biological Survey
- F Sacred Land Search
- G Cultural Survey

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Tables	Page
ES.1	Projected Wastewater Treatment Plant Influent Flows ES-2
ES.2	Summary of Potential Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Mitigation Monitoring Program..... ES-8
ES.3	Summary of Potential Cumulative Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Mitigation Monitoring Program..... ES-18
ES.4	Summary of Impact Which Remain Significant After Mitigation..... ES-19
2.1	Projected Wastewater Treatment Plant Influent Flows 2-4
2.2	Permits, Approvals, and Environmental Review/Consultation Requirements 2-9
3.4-1	Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards..... 3-15
3.4-2	Air Pollution Sources, Effects, and Control..... 3-17
3.4-3	San Joaquin Valley Air Basin Designation/Classifications 3-18
3.4-4	Estimated Days Exceeding Federal and State O ₃ , Pm ₁₀ , PM _{2.5} Standards..... 3-19
3.4-5	Operational Emissions Analysis from URBEMIS 2002..... 3-23
3.4-6	Table 4.2 of the GAMAQI Project Screening Trigger Levels for Potential Odor Sources 3-25
3.4-7	SJVAPCD’s Recommended Construction Fleet for 10 acre Site 3-27
3.4-8	URBEMIS Construction Output..... 3-27
3.5-1	Plant and Animal Species Observed During the Field Survey of The Hughson Wastewater Treatment Plant, January 2007 3-29
3.5-2	Sensitive Species Potentially Occurring Adjacent to the Project Site 3-31
3.9-1	Historical WWTP Effluent Monitoring Data Wastewater Treatment Plant Master Plan 3-57
3.9-2	WWTP Groundwater Monitoring Data and Limits Wastewater Treatment Plant Master Plan 3-57
3.9-3	Recycled Water Treatment Regulations Wastewater Treatment Plant Master Plan 3-60
3.9-4	Biosolids Pollutant Concentration Limits for Land Application 3-66
3.12-1	Stanislaus County General Plan Exterior Noise Exposure 3-76
3.12-2	Estimated Noise Levels..... 3-77
4-1	Environmental Impacts of Alternatives Compared to Project 4-8
7-1	Mitigation Monitoring Program..... 7-2

Figures	Page
ES-1 Project Area	ES-4
ES-2 Site Plan	ES-5
ES-3 Percolation Pond Configuration.....	ES-6
2-1 Regional and Vicinity Map.....	2-2
2-2 Project Area	2-3
2-3 Site Plan	2-6
2-4 Percolation Pond Configuration.....	2-7
3.3-1 Soils.....	3-5
3.3-2 Farmland Classification	3-6
3.3-3 Williamson Act.....	3-8
3.4-1 California Air Basins	3-10
3.4-2 SJVAPCD Monitoring Station Locations.....	3-19
3.5-1 CNDDB.....	3-34
3.8-1 City of Hughson Facility Locations.....	3-51
3.9-1 Percolation Pond 150 Foot Buffer	3-62
3.9-2 100 Year Flood Map	3-70
3.10-1 City Limit, Sphere of Influence and Planning Area	3-72
3.10-2 Land Use and Surrounding Residences	3-73