

City of Hughson 7018 Pine Street Hughson, CA 95326

For more information on your water quality or questions about this report, please contact the City of Hughson Public Works Department at (209) 883-4054 and ask for Jaime Velazquez. You are welcome to participate in the City Council meetings to voice any concerns regarding your drinking water. The City Council meets the second and fourth Monday of each month at 7:00pm at City Hall located at 7018 Pine Street, Hughson, CA.

Consumer Confidence Report

What's In Your Water?

This report contains important information about the quality of drinking water for the period of January 1, 2019 - December 31, 2019. Included are details about where your water comes from, data about what is in your water and how water tests on your drinking water compares to Federal and State drinking water standards.

The City of Hughson is committed to providing its residents with a reliable and safe supply of water for drinking, washing, irrigation, and other domestic uses. As part of this commitment, we regularly test the water from our wells and in the distribution system near your home. Last year, we had over 500 separate, independent *laboratory tests performed* on the City's water to ensure it met state and federal drinking water standards. With the exception of two contaminants, all of the test samples indicated that the water we provide to our customers meets current state and federal standards. The City is currently working on improvements to address these contaminants, and hopes to reach full compliance with drinking water standards in 2021.



We encourage our non-English speaking residents to speak with someone who can assist them in reading this report. Este informe contiene información muy importante sobre su agua potable. Tradúzcalo ó hable con alguien que lo entienda bien.

Consumer Confidence Report

What's New?



In 2019, the City of Hughson constructed two new municipal water wells to replace older wells that were removed from service due to contaminants found in those old wells. The City also started construction of a new water treatment facility beginning with a large water tank to store the treated water for drinking and fire suppression. The City was provided a grant and low interest loan from the State of California to assist in the cost of building these facilities. This grant/loan program will help keep water rates down even as the City continues to improve water service.

Is My Water Safe?

Government regulations mandate that public water systems test their drinking water for numerous contaminants, including bacteria, lead, arsenic, pesticides, and many other chemicals. Like the food we eat, all water (including bottled water) will have trace amounts of contaminants, but this does not necessarily mean it is a health risk if you eat or drink it. The federal and state governments have developed a list of contaminants with known or suspected health concerns that may be found in public water supplies, and established limits on the amount of these contaminants that are allowed in drinking water. These limits are called maximum contaminant levels (MCLs). Based on independent laboratory testing last year, the City of Hughson's water was found to be compliant with nearly all government drinking water standards. Arsenic in one (1) active well did not comply, and three (3) active wells had elevated concentrations of 1,2,3-trichloropropane (1,2,3-TCP), a newly regulated contaminant as of 2018. Current and future improvements to the water system will address both contaminants. Arsenic and 1,2,3-TCP are further described inside this report.

What is the City doing to protect public health?

The City of Hughson's water is supplied solely with groundwater wells. Groundwater is water that has soaked into the soils from rains, rivers, and irrigation, and continuing downward, filling openings in beds of gravel and sand called aquifers. From here, wells are used to pump it out of the ground, into the water system, and finally to your home or business. Along the way it can pick up contaminants. To protect public health, we regularly test it for naturally occurring and man-made contaminants. Water samples are taken weekly from various locations throughout the water distribution system to check for bacteria. The samples are tested by state certified laboratories to see they meet all state and federal drinking water standards. Our active wells are operated and maintained by State licensed water treatment operators. Source assessments (evaluations of potential risk of contamination) have been conducted for each of the wells, and are available to the public upon request. Our drinking water sources include three wells:

- Well 3 Starn Park
- Well 4 Hughson Elementary School
- ♦ Well 8 Euclid Avenue

Covid-19 and Your Water Supply

Although some viruses are transmitted in water, the novel coronavirus does not present a threat to the safety of Hughson's citizens from our water supplies. COVID-19 is transmitted person-to-person, not through water, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Regardless, the City treats its water to ensure 99.99% of all viruses are removed, in accordance with state and federal regulations. It is also important to know that the City maintains redundant water supply facilities to ensure water will always be available to its residents, since basic hygiene (e.g. washing hands, clothes, dishes, surfaces, and personal hygiene care products such as toothbrushes, etc.) remains one of the best defenses against the coronavirus. We are proud to know that a continuous delivery of treated drinking water to our community is helping keep us all safe and healthy. City of Hughson water operators and management remain commited and present at all times to ensure the water supply will continue uninterrupted.

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the USEPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

In order to ensure that tap water is safe to drink, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the California Water Resources Control Board prescribe regulations that limit the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. Department regulations also establish limits for contaminants in bottled water that must provide the same protection for public health. Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. USEPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on means to lessen the risk of infection by Cryptosporidium and other microbial contaminants are available from the USEPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline.

Normal sources of drinking water include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs, and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally occurring minerals and, in some cases, radio-active material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity.

Contaminants that may be present in source water include:

♦ Microbial contaminants, such as viruses and bacteria, that may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations, and wildlife.

• Inorganic contaminants, such as salts and metals, that can be naturally-occurring or result from urban stormwater runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining, or farming.

• Pesticides and herbicides, that may come from a variety of sources such as agriculture, urban storm-water runoff, and residential uses.

• Organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, that are byproducts of industrial processes and petroleum production, and can also come from gas stations, urban stormwater runoff, agricultural application, and septic systems.

• Radioactive contaminants; naturally occurring or the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.

LEAD when present in elevated levels can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and young children. Lead in drinking water is primarily from materials and components associated with service lines and home plumbing. The City of Hughson is responsible for providing high quality drinking water, but cannot control the variety of materials used in house and buisness plumbing components. When your water has been sitting for sev-

eral hours, you can minimize the potential for lead exposure by flushing your tap for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using water for drinking or cooking. If you are concerned about lead in your water, you may wish to have your water tested. Information on lead in drinking water, testing methods, and steps you can take to minimize exposure is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline, or at http://www.epa.gov/safewater/lead.

NITRATE in drinking water at levels above 10 mg/L is a health risk for infants of less than six months of age. Such nitrate levels in drinking water can interfere with the capacity of the infant's blood to carry oxygen, resulting in a serious illness; symptoms include shortness of breath and blueness of the skin. Nitrate levels above 10 mg/L may also affect the ability of the blood to carry oxygen in other individuals, such as pregnant women and those with certain specific enzyme deficiencies. If you are caring for an infant, or you are pregnant, you should ask advice from your health care provider.

ARSENIC One (1) City well has arsenic concentrations slightly above the drinking water standard MCL (13.6 ppb). The City is in the process of constructing new wells that will be equipped with treatment systems to reduce arsenic levels to meet drinking water standards. The other wells meet the federal and state standard for arsenic, though they do contain low levels of arsenic. The arsenic standard balances the current understanding of arsenic's possible health effects against the costs of removing arsenic from drinking water. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency continues to research the health effects of low levels of arsenic, which is a mineral known to cause cancer in humans at high concentrations and is linked to other health effects such as skin damage and circulatory problems.

1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE (TCP) Three active City wells have concentrations of TCP above the MCL. (See Report.) Some people who drink water containing 1,2,3-TCP in excess of the MCL over many years may have an increased risk of getting cancer.

Definitions for abbreviations:

Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. Primary MCLs are set as close to the Public Health Goal as is economically and technologically feasible. Secondary MCLs are set to protect the odor, taste, and appearance of drinking water.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Public Health Goal (PHG) The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Environmental Protection Agency.

Primary Drinking Water Standard (PDWS) MCLs and MRDLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring and reporting requirements, and water treatment requirements.



Water Quality Report

TABLE 1 - SAMPLING RESULTS SHOWING THE DETECTION OF COLIFORM BACTERIA

| Microbiological Contaminants | Highest No. of Detections (Month) | No. of Months in Violation | MCL | | | | MCLG | Typical Source of Bacteria | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Total Coliform Bacteria | 0 | 0 | More than one sample in a month w | | with a detectior | 0 | Naturally present in the environment | | |
| TABLE 2 - SAMPLING RESULTS SH | OWING THE DET | CTION OF LEAD | AND COPPER | | | | | | |
| Lead and Copper | No. of Sites | 90 th Percentile | No. Sites | | | | | | |
| (and reporting units) | Sampled 2019 | Level Detected | Exceeding AL | AL | PHG | | Typical Source of | Contaminant | |
| Lead (ppb) | 28 | 8.3 | 0 | 15 | 0.2 | Internal corro industrial ma | orrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from manufacturers; erosion of natural deposits. | | |
| Copper (ppb) | 28 | 242 | 0 1300 300 Interna deposi | | Internal corro deposits; lead | rnal corrosion of household water plumbing systems; erosion of natural osits; leaching from wood preservatives | | | |
| TABLE 3 - SAMPLING RESULTS FO | R SODIUM AND H | IARDNESS | | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections MCL | | PHG (MCLG | G) Typical Source of Contaminant | | | |
| Sodium (ppm) | 2018 | 90 | 68 -105 | | None | None | Salt present in the w | ater and is generally naturally occuring | |
| Hardness (ppm) | 2018 | 203 | 56 - 363 | | None | None | Sum of polyvalent ca | ations present in the water, generally | |
| TABLE 4- DETECTION OF CONTAM | INANTS WITH A | PRIMARY DRINH | KING WATER ST | ANDAR | D | | magnesium and calo | cium, and are usually naturally occuring. | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections | MCL [M | IRDL] | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of | f Contaminant | |
| Arsenic (ppb) | 2019 | 6.5 | 0.6 - 17.75 | 10 | | 0.004 | Erosion of natural deposit | s; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics | |
| Barium (ppb) | 2018 | 180 | 55.4 - 266 | 100 | 0 | 2000 | Discharge of oil drilling wa deposits | astes and from metal refineries; erosion of natural | |
| Fluoride (ppm) | 2018 | 0.52 | ND - 1.55 | 2.0 |) | 1 | Erosion of natural deposits discharge from fertilizer ar | s; water additive which romotes strong teeth; nd aluminum factories | |
| Nitrate (as N, ppm) | 2019 | 4.6 | ND - 8.26 | 10 | | 10 | Runoff and leaching from erosion of natural deposite | fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; 5 | |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/L) | 2019 | ND | ND | 15 | | 0 | Erosion of natural deposits | 5 | |
| Hexavalent Chromium (ppb) | 2014 | 1.0 | 0.5 - 1.4 | NA | * | 0.02 | Discharge from electropla chemical synthesis, and te | ting factories, leather tanneries, wood preservation, xtile manufacturing facilities; erosion | |
| Dibromochloropropane (DBCP) (ppt) | 2019 | 25 | 25 | 200 |) | (0) | Runoff/leaching from soil orchards | fumigant used on soybeans, cotton, pineapples and | |
| 1,2,3 Trichloropropane (TCP) (ppt) | 2018 | 17 | ND - 55 | 5 | | 0.7 | Past use of soil fumigants that contain 1,2,3-TCP as an impurity. | | |
| TABLE 5 - DETECTION OF CONTAN | INANTS WITH A | <u>SECONDARY</u> DR | RINKING WATER | STANE | DARD | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Deteo | tions | MCL | PHG (MCLG) | Typical | Source of Contaminant | |
| Chloride (ppm) | 2018 | 49 | 21 - 91 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching fron | n natural deposits; seawater influence | |
| Specific Conductance (uS/cm) | 2015 | 572 | 377 - 684 | | 1600 | N/A | Substances that form | n ions when in water; seawater influence | |
| Sulfate (ppm) | 2018 | 25 | 4 - 26 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching fron | n natural deposits; industrial wastes | |
| Manganese (ppb) | 2018 | 37 | ND - 107 | | 50 | N/A | Naturally occurring r | nineral | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (ppm) | 2018 | 369 | 228 - 468 | | 1000 | N/A | Runoff/leaching fron | n natural deposits | |
| TABLE 6 - DETECTION OF UNREGU | JLATED CONTAMI | NANTS | | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Deteo | ctions | Not | ification Level | 1 | Typical Source of Contaminant | |
| Boron (ppb) | 2012 | 130 | ND - 300 | | | 1000 | Naturally occuring mineral | | |
| /anadium (ppb) | 2012 | 16 | 6 - 21 | | | 50 | | Naturally occuring mineral | |
| ABLE 7 - DETECTION OF FEDERAL | DISINFECTANT/ | DISINFECTANT I | BYPRODUCT RU | LE | | | | | |
| hemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detec | tions | | MCL (MRDL) | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of Contaminant | |
| THMs (Total Trihalomethanes) (ppb) | 2019 | 2.2 | 2.0 - 2.4 | | | 80 | N/A | By-product of drinking water disinfection | |
| ontaminants highlighted in bold indicat nd 13.6 ppb. * There is currently no MC | e MCL exceedence. A L for hexavalent chro | rsenic compliance | is based on the ave | rage of at | t least on withdraw | e test per quarte n on September | er. Active well arsenic le 11, 2017. | evels for 2019 were 5.1, 8.8, | |

| Microbiological Contaminants | Highest No. of Detections (Month) | No. of Months in Violation | MCL | | | | MCLG | Typical Source of Bacteria | |
|--|---|---|--|-------------|------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Total Coliform Bacteria | 0 | 0 | More than one sample in a month w | | | with a detection | on O | Naturally present in the environment | |
| TABLE 2 - SAMPLING RESULTS SH | IOWING THE DETE | CTION OF LEAD | AND COPPER | | | | | | |
| Lead and Copper (and reporting units) | No. of Sites Sampled 2019 | 90 th Percentile Level Detected | No. Sites Exceeding AL AL PHG | | | Typical Source of Contaminant | | | |
| Lead (ppb) | 28 | 8.3 | 0 | 0 15 0.2 li | | Internal cor industrial m | Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from industrial manufacturers; erosion of natural deposits. | | |
| Copper (ppb) | 28 | 242 | 0 1300 300 Inte dep | | Internal cor deposits; le | rosion of household water p aching from wood preservat | lumbing systems; erosion of natural tives | | |
| TABLE 3 - SAMPLING RESULTS FO | R SODIUM AND H | ARDNESS | | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections MCL | | PHG (MCL | HG (MCLG) Typical Source of Contaminant | | | |
| Sodium (ppm) | 2018 | 90 | 68 -105 | | None | None | Salt present in the water and is generally naturally occuring | | |
| Hardness (ppm) | 2018 | 203 | 56 - 363 | | None | None | Sum of polyvalent ca | tions present in the water, generally | |
| TABLE 4- DETECTION OF CONTAM | /INANTS WITH A <u>F</u> | RIMARY DRIN | KING WATER ST | ANDAR | D | | magnesiam and cale | iani, and are asaany natarany occaring. | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections | MCL [N | RDL] F | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of | Contaminant | |
| Arsenic (ppb) | 2019 | 6.5 | 0.6 - 17.75 | 10 | | 0.004 | Erosion of natural deposits | ; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics | |
| Barium (ppb) | 2018 | 180 | 55.4 - 266 | 100 | 0 | 2000 | Discharge of oil drilling was deposits | stes and from metal refineries; erosion of natural | |
| Fluoride (ppm) | 2018 | 0.52 | ND - 1.55 | 2.0 | 1 | 1 | Erosion of natural deposits | ; water additive which romotes strong teeth; | |
| Nitrate (as N, ppm) | 2019 | 4.6 | ND - 8.26 | 10 | | 10 | Runoff and leaching from f erosion of natural deposits | ertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; | |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/L) | 2019 | ND | ND | 15 | | 0 | Erosion of natural deposits | | |
| Hexavalent Chromium (ppb) | 2014 | 1.0 | 0.5 - 1.4 | NA | * | 0.02 | Discharge from electroplat | ing factories, leather tanneries, wood preservation, stile manufacturing facilities: erosion | |
| Dibromochloropropane (DBCP) (ppt) | 2019 | 25 | 25 | 200 |) | (0) | Runoff/leaching from soil f orchards | umigant used on soybeans, cotton, pineapples and | |
| 1,2,3 Trichloropropane (TCP) (ppt) | 2018 | 17 | ND - 55 | 5 | | 0.7 | Past use of soil fumigants t | hat contain 1,2,3-TCP as an impurity. | |
| TABLE 5 - DETECTION OF CONTAI | VINANTS WITH A | SECONDARY DR | INKING WATER | | DARD | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detec | tions | MCL | PHG (MCL | G) Typical S | Source of Contaminant | |
| Chloride (ppm) | 2018 | 49 | 21 - 91 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | natural deposits; seawater influence | |
| Specific Conductance (uS/cm) | 2015 | 572 | 377 - 684 | | 1600 | N/A | Substances that form | ions when in water; seawater influence | |
| Sulfate (ppm) | 2018 | 25 | 4 - 26 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | natural deposits; industrial wastes | |
| Manganese (ppb) | 2018 | 37 | ND - 107 | | 50 | N/A | Naturally occurring mineral | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (ppm) | 2018 | 369 | 228 - 468 | | 1000 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | natural deposits | |
| TABLE 6 - DETECTION OF UNREG | ULATED CONTAMI | NANTS | | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detec | tions | Noti | fication Level | Т | ypical Source of Contaminant | |
| Boron (ppb) | 2012 | 130 | ND - 300 | | | 1000 | | Naturally occuring mineral | |
| Vanadium (ppb) | 2012 | 16 | 6 - 21 | | | 50 | 50 Naturally occuring mineral | | |
| TABLE 7 - DETECTION OF FEDERA | L DISINFECTANT/ I | DISINFECTANT I | BYPRODUCT RU | LE | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detec | tions | Ν | MCL (MRDL) | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of Contaminant | |
| TTHMs (Total Trihalomethanes) (ppb) | 2019 | 2.2 | 2.0 - 2.4 | | | 80 | N/A | By-product of drinking water disinfection | |
| Contaminants highlighted in bold indicat and 13.6 ppb. * There is currently no MC | te MCL exceedence. A CL for hexavalent chror | rsenic compliance in nium. The previou | is based on the aver is MCL of 0.010 mg | rage of a | t least one vithdrawr | test per quar | ter. Active well arsenic le er 11, 2017. | vels for 2019 were 5.1, 8.8, | |

| Microbiological Contaminants | of Detections (Month) | No. of Months in Violation | MCL | | MCLG | Typical Source of Bacteria | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| Total Coliform Bacteria | 0 | 0 | More than one sample in a month with a | | | vith a detectio | n O | Naturally present in the environment |
| TABLE 2 - SAMPLING RESULTS SH | OWING THE DETE | CTION OF LEAD | AND COPPER | | | | | |
| Lead and Copper (and reporting units) | No. of Sites Sampled 2019 | 90 th Percentile Level Detected | No. Sites Exceeding AL AL PHG | | | Typical Source o | f Contaminant | |
| Lead (ppb) | 28 | 8.3 | 0 | 15 | 0.2 | Internal corr industrial m | rosion of household water anufacturers; erosion of na | plumbing systems; discharges from tural deposits. |
| Copper (ppb) | 28 | 242 | 0 1300 300 Internal corr deposits; lea | | rosion of household water aching from wood preserva | plumbing systems; erosion of natural atives | | |
| TABLE 3 - SAMPLING RESULTS FO | R SODIUM AND H | IARDNESS | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections MCL | | PHG (MCLO | PHG (MCLG) Typical Source of Contaminant | | |
| Sodium (ppm) | 2018 | 90 | 68 -105 | | None | None | Salt present in the w | vater and is generally naturally occuring |
| Hardness (ppm) | 2018 | 203 | 56 - 363 None | | None | None | Sum of polyvalent c | ations present in the water, generally |
| TABLE 4- DETECTION OF CONTAM | IINANTS WITH A <u>I</u> | PRIMARY DRINK | ING WATER ST | ANDARD | | | magnesium and car | cium, and are usually naturally occurring. |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections | MCL [MRDI | L] PI | HG (MCLG) | Typical Source of | of Contaminant |
| Arsenic (ppb) | 2019 | 6.5 | 0.6 - 17.75 | 10 | | 0.004 | Erosion of natural deposit | s; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics |
| Barium (ppb) | 2018 | 180 | 55.4 - 266 | 1000 | | 2000 | production wastes Discharge of oil drilling wa deposits | astes and from metal refineries; erosion of natural |
| Fluoride (ppm) | 2018 | 0.52 | ND - 1.55 | 2.0 | | 1 | Erosion of natural deposit | s; water additive which romotes strong teeth; |
| Nitrate (as N, ppm) | 2019 | 4.6 | ND - 8.26 | 10 | | 10 | Runoff and leaching from erosion of natural deposit | fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewa s |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/L) | 2019 | ND | ND | 15 | | 0 | Erosion of natural deposit | S |
| Hexavalent Chromium (ppb) | 2014 | 1.0 | 0.5 - 1.4 | NA* | | 0.02 | Discharge from electropla chemical synthesis, and te | ting factories, leather tanneries, wood preservatio extile manufacturing facilities; erosion |
| Dibromochloropropane (DBCP) (ppt) | 2019 | 25 | 25 | 200 | 200 | | Runoff/leaching from soil orchards | fumigant used on soybeans, cotton, pineapples a |
| 1,2,3 Trichloropropane (TCP) (ppt) | 2018 | 17 | ND - 55 | 5 0.7 | | 0.7 | Past use of soil fumigants | that contain 1,2,3-TCP as an impurity. |
| TABLE 5 - DETECTION OF CONTAM | /INANTS WITH A | <u>SECONDARY</u> DR | INKING WATER | R STANDA | RD | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Dete | ections | MCL | PHG (MCLC | 5) Typical | Source of Contaminant |
| Chloride (ppm) | 2018 | 49 | 21 - 91 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching fror | n natural deposits; seawater influence |
| Specific Conductance (uS/cm) | 2015 | 572 | 377 - 684 | 1 | 1600 | N/A | Substances that form | n ions when in water; seawater influence |
| Sulfate (ppm) | 2018 | 25 | 4 - 26 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | n natural deposits; industrial wastes |
| Manganese (ppb) | 2018 | 37 | ND - 107 | , | 50 | N/A | Naturally occurring I | nineral |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (ppm) | 2018 | 369 | 228 - 468 | 3 | 1000 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | n natural deposits |
| TABLE 6 - DETECTION OF UNREGU | JLATED CONTAMI | NANTS | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Dete | ections | Notifi | cation Level | | Typical Source of Contaminant |
| Boron (ppb) | 2012 | 130 | ND - 300 |) | | 1000 | | Naturally occuring mineral |
| Vanadium (ppb) | 2012 | 16 | 6 - 21 | | | 50 | | Naturally occuring mineral |
| TABLE 7 - DETECTION OF FEDERAL | DISINFECTANT/ | DISINFECTANT E | BYPRODUCT RU | JLE | | | | |
| | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Deteo | ctions | M | ICL (MRDL) | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of Contaminant |
| Chemical or Constituents | | | | | | | | |

| Microbiological Contaminants | Highest No. of Detections (Month) | No. of Months in Violation | MCL | | | | MCLG | Typical Source of Bacteria |
|--|--|---|---|------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Total Coliform Bacteria | 0 | 0 | More than one sample in a month with | | | with a detectio | n 0 | Naturally present in the environment |
| TABLE 2 - SAMPLING RESULTS SHO | WING THE DETE | CTION OF LEAD | AND COPPER | | | | | |
| Lead and Copper (and reporting units) | No. of Sites Sampled 2019 | 90 th Percentile Level Detected | No. Sites Exceeding AL AL PHG | | | Typical Source of | Contaminant | |
| Lead (ppb) | 28 | 8.3 | 0 | 15 | 0.2 | Internal corr industrial m | osion of household water anufacturers; erosion of na | plumbing systems; discharges from tural deposits. |
| Copper (ppb) | 28 | 242 | 0 1300 300 Internal co deposits; lo | | Internal corr deposits; lea | l corrosion of household water plumbing systems; erosion of natural ts; leaching from wood preservatives | | |
| TABLE 3 - SAMPLING RESULTS FOR | SODIUM AND H | IARDNESS | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections MCL | | PHG (MCLC | G (MCLG) Typical Source of Contaminant | | |
| Sodium (ppm) | 2018 | 90 | 68 -105 | | None | None | Salt present in the w | rater and is generally naturally occuring |
| Hardness (ppm) | 2018 | 203 | 56 - 363 | | None | None | Sum of polyvalent c | ations present in the water, generally |
| TABLE 4- DETECTION OF CONTAMIN | NANTS WITH A I | PRIMARY DRINK | ING WATER ST | ANDAR | D | | magnesium and cal | num, and are usually naturally occurring. |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections | MCL [M | RDL] F | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of | of Contaminant |
| Arsenic (ppb) | 2019 | 6.5 | 0.6 - 17.75 | 10 | | 0.004 | Erosion of natural deposit | s; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics |
| Barium (ppb) | 2018 | 180 | 55.4 - 266 | 1000 | 0 | 2000 | Discharge of oil drilling wa deposits | astes and from metal refineries; erosion of natural |
| Fluoride (ppm) | 2018 | 0.52 | ND - 1.55 | 2.0 | | 1 | Erosion of natural deposits; water additive which romotes strong teeth; discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factories | |
| Nitrate (as N, ppm) | 2019 | 4.6 | ND - 8.26 | 10 | | 10 | Runoff and leaching from erosion of natural deposits | fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; s |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/L) | 2019 | ND | ND | 15 | | 0 | Erosion of natural deposit | 5 |
| Hexavalent Chromium (ppb) | 2014 | 1.0 | 0.5 - 1.4 | NA | ¢ | 0.02 | Discharge from electropla chemical synthesis, and te | ting factories, leather tanneries, wood preservation, xtile manufacturing facilities; erosion |
| Dibromochloropropane (DBCP) (ppt) | 2019 | 25 | 25 | 200 | I | (0) | Runoff/leaching from soil orchards | fumigant used on soybeans, cotton, pineapples and |
| 1,2,3 Trichloropropane (TCP) (ppt) | 2018 | 17 | ND - 55 | 5 | | 0.7 | Past use of soil fumigants | that contain 1,2,3-TCP as an impurity. |
| TABLE 5 - DETECTION OF CONTAMI | NANTS WITH A | <u>SECONDARY</u> DR | INKING WATER | | OARD | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detec | tions | MCL | PHG (MCLC | i) Typical | Source of Contaminant |
| Chloride (ppm) | 2018 | 49 | 21 - 91 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | n natural deposits; seawater influence |
| Specific Conductance (uS/cm) | 2015 | 572 | 377 - 684 | | 1600 | N/A | Substances that forn | n ions when in water; seawater influence |
| Sulfate (ppm) | 2018 | 25 | 4 - 26 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; industrial wastes | |
| Manganese (ppb) | 2018 | 37 | ND - 107 | | 50 | N/A | Naturally occurring mineral | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (ppm) | 2018 | 369 | 228 - 468 | | 1000 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | n natural deposits |
| TABLE 6 - DETECTION OF UNREGUL | ATED CONTAMI | NANTS | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detec | tions | Noti | fication Level | | Typical Source of Contaminant |
| Boron (ppb) | 2012 | 130 | ND - 300 | | | 1000 | Naturally occuring mineral | |
| Vanadium (ppb) | 2012 | 16 | 6 - 21 | | | 50 | | Naturally occuring mineral |
| TABLE 7 - DETECTION OF FEDERAL | DISINFECTANT/ | DISINFECTANT E | BYPRODUCT RU | LE | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detec | tions | Ν | MCL (MRDL) | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of Contaminant |
| TTHMs (Total Trihalomethanes) (ppb) | 2019 | 2.2 | 2.0 - 2.4 | | | 80 | N/A | By-product of drinking water disinfection |
| Contaminants highlighted in bold indicate I and 13.6 ppb. * There is currently no MCL f | MCL exceedence. A for hexavalent chro | rsenic compliance i mium. The previou | is based on the aver s MCL of 0.010 ms | rage of at | least one | test per quart | er. Active well arsenic le r 11, 2017. | evels for 2019 were 5.1, 8.8, |

| Microbiological Contaminants | Highest No. of Detections (Month) | No. of Months in Violation | | | MCL | | MCLG | Typical Source of Bacteria | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Total Coliform Bacteria | 0 | 0 | More than one sample in a month v | | | with a detection | in O | Naturally present in the environment | |
| TABLE 2 - SAMPLING RESULTS SHO | OWING THE DETE | CTION OF LEAD | AND COPPER | | | | | | |
| Lead and Copper (and reporting units) | No. of Sites Sampled 2019 | 90 th Percentile Level Detected | No. Sites Exceeding AL AL PHG | | | Typical Source of Contaminant | | | |
| Lead (ppb) | 28 | 8.3 | 0 | 15 | 0.2 | Internal corr industrial m | rrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from manufacturers; erosion of natural deposits. | | |
| Copper (ppb) | 28 | 242 | 0 | 1300 300 Internal corr deposits; lea | | rosion of household water aching from wood preserva | olumbing systems; erosion of natural tives | | |
| TABLE 3 - SAMPLING RESULTS FOR | R SODIUM AND H | ARDNESS | | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Dete | Range of Detections MCL | | PHG (MCL | CLG) Typical Source of Contaminant | | |
| Sodium (ppm) | 2018 | 90 | 68 -105 | | None | None | Salt present in the w | rater and is generally naturally occuring | |
| Hardness (ppm) | 2018 | 203 | 56 - 363 | 5 | None | None | Sum of polyvalent c | ations present in the water, generally | |
| TABLE 4- DETECTION OF CONTAM | INANTS WITH A <u>F</u> | <u>PRIMARY</u> DRINK | KING WATER ST | ANDAF | RD | | magnesiam and cak | indin, and are assumy naturally occurring. | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections | MCL [N | /IRDL] F | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of | f Contaminant | |
| Arsenic (ppb) | 2019 | 6.5 | 0.6 - 17.75 | 10 | 0 | 0.004 | Erosion of natural deposit | s; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics | |
| Barium (ppb) | 2018 | 180 | 55.4 - 266 | 100 | 00 | 2000 | Discharge of oil drilling wa deposits | istes and from metal refineries; erosion of natural | |
| Fluoride (ppm) | 2018 | 0.52 | ND - 1.55 | 2.0 | 0 | 1 | Erosion of natural deposits; water additive which romotes strong ter | | |
| Nitrate (as N, ppm) | 2019 | 4.6 | ND - 8.26 | 10 | D | 10 | Runoff and leaching from erosion of natural deposite | fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; 5 | |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/L) | 2019 | ND | ND | 15 | 5 | 0 | Erosion of natural deposit | 5 | |
| Hexavalent Chromium (ppb) | 2014 | 1.0 | 0.5 - 1.4 | NA | * | 0.02 | Discharge from electropla chemical synthesis, and te | ting factories, leather tanneries, wood preservation, xtile manufacturing facilities: erosion | |
| Dibromochloropropane (DBCP) (ppt) | 2019 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 0 | (0) | Runoff/leaching from soil orchards | fumigant used on soybeans, cotton, pineapples and | |
| 1,2,3 Trichloropropane (TCP) (ppt) | 2018 | 17 | ND - 55 | 5 | 5 | 0.7 | Past use of soil fumigants | that contain 1,2,3-TCP as an impurity. | |
| TABLE 5 - DETECTION OF CONTAM | IINANTS WITH A | SECONDARY DR | | R STAN | DARD | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Dete | ctions | MCL | PHG (MCLO | 5) Typical | Source of Contaminant | |
| Chloride (ppm) | 2018 | 49 | 21 - 91 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | n natural deposits; seawater influence | |
| Specific Conductance (uS/cm) | 2015 | 572 | 377 - 684 | 1 | 1600 | N/A | Substances that forn | n ions when in water; seawater influence | |
| Sulfate (ppm) | 2018 | 25 | 4 - 26 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | n natural deposits; industrial wastes | |
| Manganese (ppb) | 2018 | 37 | ND - 107 | 7 | 50 | N/A | Naturally occurring mineral | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (ppm) | 2018 | 369 | 228 - 468 | 3 | 1000 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | n natural deposits | |
| TABLE 6 - DETECTION OF UNREGU | LATED CONTAMI | NANTS | | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Dete | ctions | Noti | fication Level | | Typical Source of Contaminant | |
| Boron (ppb) | 2012 | 130 | ND - 300 |) | | 1000 | | Naturally accuring minoral | |
| Vanadium (ppb) | 2012 | 16 | 6 - 21 | | | 50 | | Naturally occuring mineral | |
| TABLE 7 - DETECTION OF FEDERAL | DISINFECTANT/ I | DISINFECTANT E | BYPRODUCT RU | JLE | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Deteo | ctions | Ν | MCL (MRDL) | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of Contaminant | |
| TTHMs (Total Trihalomethanes) (ppb) | 2019 | 2.2 | 2.0 - 2.4 | | | 80 | N/A | By-product of drinking water disinfection | |
| Contaminants highlighted in bold indicate and 13.6 ppb. * There is currently no MCI | MCL exceedence. A | rsenic compliance i nium. The previou | is based on the ave is MCL of 0.010 m | erage of a | at least one withdrawr | test per quar | ter. Active well arsenic le er 11, 2017. | evels for 2019 were 5.1, 8.8, | |

| Microbiological Contaminants | Highest No. of Detections (Month) | No. of Months in Violation | MCL | | | | MCLG | Typical Source of Bacteria |
|---|---|---|--|---|-----------|---|---|---|
| Total Coliform Bacteria | 0 | 0 | More than one sample in a month with | | | with a detectio | n 0 | Naturally present in the environment |
| TABLE 2 - SAMPLING RESULTS SHO | WING THE DETE | CTION OF LEAD | AND COPPER | | | | | |
| Lead and Copper (and reporting units) | No. of Sites Sampled 2019 | 90 th Percentile Level Detected | No. Sites Exceeding AL AL PHG | | | Typical Source of | fContaminant | |
| Lead (ppb) | 28 | 8.3 | 0 | 15 | 0.2 | Internal corr industrial m | osion of household water anufacturers; erosion of na | plumbing systems; discharges from tural deposits. |
| Copper (ppb) | 28 | 242 | 0 | 0 1300 300 Internal corro deposits; lead | | osion of household water aching from wood preserva | plumbing systems; erosion of natural atives | |
| TABLE 3 - SAMPLING RESULTS FOR | SODIUM AND H | IARDNESS | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections MCL | | PHG (MCLO | LG) Typical Source of Contaminant | | |
| Sodium (ppm) | 2018 | 90 | 68 -105 | | None | None | Salt present in the w | vater and is generally naturally occuring |
| Hardness (ppm) | 2018 | 203 | 56 - 363 | | None | None | Sum of polyvalent c | ations present in the water, generally |
| TABLE 4- DETECTION OF CONTAMI | NANTS WITH A I | PRIMARY DRINK | ING WATER ST | ANDAR | D | | magnesium and can | cium, and are usually naturally occurring. |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections | MCL [M | RDL] I | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of | of Contaminant |
| Arsenic (ppb) | 2019 | 6.5 | 0.6 - 17.75 | 10 | | 0.004 | Erosion of natural deposit | s; runoff from orchards; glass and electronics |
| Barium (ppb) | 2018 | 180 | 55.4 - 266 | 100 | 0 | 2000 | Discharge of oil drilling wa deposits | astes and from metal refineries; erosion of natural |
| Fluoride (ppm) | 2018 | 0.52 | ND - 1.55 | 2.0 | | 1 | Erosion of natural deposit | s; water additive which romotes strong teeth; ad aluminum factories |
| Nitrate (as N, ppm) | 2019 | 4.6 | ND - 8.26 | 10 | | 10 | Runoff and leaching from erosion of natural deposit | fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; s |
| Gross Alpha (pCi/L) | 2019 | ND | ND | 15 | | 0 | Erosion of natural deposit | s |
| Hexavalent Chromium (ppb) | 2014 | 1.0 | 0.5 - 1.4 | NA | × | 0.02 | Discharge from electropla chemical synthesis, and te | ting factories, leather tanneries, wood preservation, extile manufacturing facilities: erosion |
| Dibromochloropropane (DBCP) (ppt) | 2019 | 25 | 25 | 200 |) | (0) | Runoff/leaching from soil orchards | fumigant used on soybeans, cotton, pineapples and |
| 1,2,3 Trichloropropane (TCP) (ppt) | 2018 | 17 | ND - 55 | - 55 5 | | 0.7 | Past use of soil fumigants | that contain 1,2,3-TCP as an impurity. |
| TABLE 5 - DETECTION OF CONTAMI | NANTS WITH A | <u>SECONDARY</u> DR | INKING WATE | R STANE | DARD | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Dete | ctions | MCL | PHG (MCLC | 5) Typical | Source of Contaminant |
| Chloride (ppm) | 2018 | 49 | 21 - 91 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching fror | n natural deposits; seawater influence |
| Specific Conductance (uS/cm) | 2015 | 572 | 377 - 684 | 1 | 1600 | N/A | Substances that form | n ions when in water; seawater influence |
| Sulfate (ppm) | 2018 | 25 | 4 - 26 | | 500 | N/A | Runoff/leaching fror | n natural deposits; industrial wastes |
| Manganese (ppb) | 2018 | 37 | ND - 107 | , | 50 | N/A | Naturally occurring mineral | |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (ppm) | 2018 | 369 | 228 - 468 | 3 | 1000 | N/A | Runoff/leaching from | n natural deposits |
| TABLE 6 - DETECTION OF UNREGUL | ATED CONTAMI | NANTS | | | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level | Range of Dete | ctions | Noti | fication Level | | Typical Source of Contaminant |
| Boron (ppb) | 2012 | 130 | ND - 300 |) | | 1000 | | Not wells a service entropy of |
| Vanadium (ppb) | 2012 | 16 | 6 - 21 | | | 50 | | Naturally occuring mineral Naturally occuring mineral |
| TABLE 7 - DETECTION OF FEDERAL | DISINFECTANT/ | DISINFECTANT E | SYPRODUCT RU | JLE | | | | |
| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Deter | ctions | 1 | MCL (MRDL) | PHG (MCLG) | Typical Source of Contaminant |
| TTHMs (Total Trihalomethanes) (ppb) | 2019 | 2.2 | 2.0 - 2.4 | | | 80 | N/A | By-product of drinking water disinfection |
| Contaminants highlighted in bold indicate and 13.6 ppb. * There is currently no MCL | MCL exceedence. A for hexavalent chro | rsenic compliance i mium. The previou | s based on the ave s MCL of 0.010 m | erage of at | least one | test per quar | ter. Active well arsenic le er 11, 2017. | evels for 2019 were 5.1, 8.8, |

| Chemical or Constituents | Sample Date | Avg Level Detected | Range of Detections | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| TTHMs (Total Trihalomethanes) (ppb) | 2019 | 2.2 | 2.0 - 2.4 | |

Water quality data for the period of January 1 - December 31, 2019